arXiv:1704.02624v1 [physics.soc-ph] 9 Apr 2017

Self-reinforcing feedback between individual strategies and price movement in financial markets with momentum traders

Li-Xin Zhong[a], Wen-Juan Xu[b], Rong-Da Chen[a], Chen-Yang Zhong[c], Tian Qiu[d], Yun-Xing He[a]

[a] *School of Finance and Coordinated Innovation Center of Wealth Management and Quantitative Investment, Zhejiang University of Finance and Economics, Hangzhou, 310018, China*

[b] *School of Law, Zhejiang University of Finance and Economics, Hangzhou, 310018, China*

[c] *Yuanpei College, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China*

[d] *School of Information Engineering, Nanchang Hangkong University, Nanchang, 330063, China*

Abstract

An individual's subjective perception has a great impact on price movement. By incorporating momentum traders into the evolutionary minority game, we investigate the self-reinforcing effect between individual strategies and price movement. The coupling effect of market impact and momentum trading is extensively studied. Within the range where the market impact β is quite small, an increase in the ratio of momentum traders γ firstly leads to a decrease and then an increase in the price fluctuations. Within the range where the market impact β is quite large, an increase in the ratio of momentum traders γ leads to a continuous rise of price fluctuations. The price fluctuation is closely related to the strategy distribution. For a small γ, a broader distribution of individual strategies corresponds to a smaller fluctuation in stock prices. For a large γ, a broader distribution of individual strategies corresponds to a larger fluctuation in stock prices. The critical point γ_c, below which the standard deviation of individual strategies σ_g decreases and above which the standard deviation of individual strategies σ_g increases with the rise of γ, is observed. A theoretical analysis indicates that the existence of

Email address: zlxxwj@163.com (Li-Xin Zhong[a])

momentum traders can effectively affect the dominant strategy and the price fluctuations. The self-reinforcing feedback between the price fluctuations and the distribution of individual strategies is found.

Keywords: financial markets, momentum traders, evolutionary minority game, self-reinforcing effect

1. Introduction

The evolution of financial markets exhibits quite a lot of nonlinear fashions, among which self-organization and phase transition problems are the most fascinating problems for statistical physicists to get involved into[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. In order to understand the evolutionary mechanism of stock prices in financial markets, the minority game (MG) and the evolutionary minority game (EMG) models have been borrowed to mimic the stock price movement[13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26].

In the MG, each individual makes his decision, buying, selling or taking no action, depending upon the globally known information and the best strategy in his hand[27, 28, 29, 30, 31]. The individuals in the minority side win the game and the strategies adopted by the winners are awarded a positive score and the strategies adopted by the losers get a negative score. Similar to that in the MG, in the EMG, an individual's decision is also made depending upon the global information and the strategy in one's hand. The only difference between the two models is that the strategies in the EMG evolve with time while the strategies in the MG do not evolve with time[32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41].

In the last two decades, the world has witnessed the booming growth of the theories of bounded rationality and behavioural finance[42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53], in which the effect of emotional feelings and behavioural biases on the market movement has been discussed in depth. Depending upon the MG, C. H. Yeung et al. have studied the role of arbitrageurs and trendsetters on the evolution of stock prices[54]. A phase diagram with several attractor properties has been found. Depending upon the EMG, L.X.Zhong et al. have studied the role of over-confidence and risk-aversion in the price movement. A functional relation between the price fluctuations and the risk-aversion degrees has been found[55, 56].

Until today, the agent-based models proposed in mimicking the price movement in financial markets are remote from that in realistic markets[57,

58, 59, 60]. For example, in the real estate market, the price movement often demonstrates some kind of self-reinforcing effect. The rising prices attract more buyers. The more buyers drive the prices much higher. Such a process is quite unsustainable and a catastrophic collapse often occurs. Similar scenarios have also been found in the financial markets[61, 62, 63, 64, 65]. For example, people's subjective perception, a continuous rise of the stock price, may encourage them to buy the stock, which is quite possible to result in a real rise of the stock price. The rise of the stock price may attract more people to rush into the stock market and people's subjective perception of a continuous rise of the stock price becomes true. Although the self-reinforcing scenario has been discussed in some papers, what the evolutionary mechanism of such a self-reinforcing feedback is and what the relationship between the price movement and the investment strategies is are still open questions[66, 67, 68, 69, 70].

In this paper, we incorporate momentum traders, who always follow the continuous rise and the continuous fall of the price, into the EMG. How the individual perception affects the price movement and what the relationship between the individual strategies and the price fluctuations is are extensively explored. We have the following three main findings.

(1) The existence of momentum traders has a great impact on the price fluctuations. As the market impact β is quite small, an increase in the ratio of momentum traders γ firstly leads to a decrease and then an increase in the price fluctuations. As the market impact β is quite large, an increase in the ratio of momentum traders γ leads to a continuous rise of the price fluctuation. The price fluctuation becomes insensitive to the market impact β for an intermediate γ and a large γ.

(2) The existence of momentum traders can effectively affect the strategy distribution. As the market impact β is quite small, an increase in the ratio of momentum traders γ leads to a broader distribution of individual strategies. As the market impact β is quite large, an increase in the ratio of momentum traders γ firstly leads to a narrower distribution of individual strategies and then a broader distribution of individual strategies. The dominant strategy becomes sensitive to the market impact β for an intermediate γ and a large γ.

(3) A theoretical analysis indicates that the existence of momentum traders can effectively affect the dominant strategy and the price movement. The relationship between the price fluctuations and the distribution of individual strategies is found.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the evolutionary minority game with momentum traders is introduced. In section 3, the numerical results are presented. In section 4, a theoretical analysis is given. In section 5, some valuable conclusions are drawn.

2. The model

Consider the stock market with N individuals, including γN momentum traders and $(1-\gamma)N$ rational individuals. For a rational individual i, he makes his decision, that is, buying, selling or taking no action, according to his strategy g_i, $g_i \in [0,1]$, and the latest price history, that is, a m-bit long history indicating the rise and fall of the price. Facing a typical price history, individual i will take an action following the prediction, which means that history will repeat, with probability g_i and rejecting the prediction with probability $1-g_i$. For a momentum trader j, he also acts as a rational individual except for the cases where a continuous rise or a continuous fall of the price occurs. Facing the continuous rise of the price, individual j will buy a stock on condition that he has no stock in his hand or take no action if he has a stock in his hand. Facing the continuous fall of the price, individual j will sell a stock on condition that he has a stock in his hand or take no action if he has no stock in his hand.

The individual strategy g evolves according to the strategy score S and the updating threshold S_{th},

$$S = \sum_{t=t_{update}}^{t_{latest}} [P_{tr}(t_{sell}) - P_{tr}(t_{buy})], \tag{1}$$

in which S is the accumulated wealth received after the new strategy has been adopted and P_{tr} is the transaction price. On condition that $S_i < S_{th}$, individual i modifies his strategy g_i and gets a new strategy from the range of $[g_i - \frac{\lambda}{2}, g_i + \frac{\lambda}{2}]$.

The stock price P evolves according to the difference between the number of individuals buying the stock and the number of individuals selling the stock,

$$P(t+1) = P(t) + sgn[A(t)]\sqrt{\mid A(t) \mid}, \tag{2}$$

in which $A(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i(t)$ and $a_i(t)$ is an individual $i's$ decision, that is, buying (+1), selling (-1) or taking no action (0). If there are more buyers

than sellers, $A(t)$ is greater than zero and the price increases. If there are more sellers than buyers, $A(t)$ is less than zero and the price decreases.

The market impact is incorporated into the transaction price P_{tr} with parameter β,

$$P_{tr}(t) = (1-\beta)P(t) + \beta P(t+1), \tag{3}$$

in which $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. For $\beta = 0$, $P_{tr}(t) = P(t)$, the individuals make a transaction according to the latest price. For $\beta = 1$, $P_{tr}(t) = P(t+1)$, the individuals make a transaction according to the next price.

The wealth of an individual i is accumulated from the beginning of the game,

$$W_i = \sum_{t=1}^{t_{max}} \Delta W_i(t), \tag{4}$$

in which $\Delta W = P_{tr}(t_{sell}) - P_{tr}(t_{buy})$. The averaged wealth of the population is

$$\bar{W} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_i. \tag{5}$$

Therefore, in the present model, the momentum trading mechanism is incorporated into the EMG with parameter γ. For $\gamma = 0$, there are no momentum traders in the stock market. For $\gamma = 1$, all the individuals are momentum traders in the stock market. How the momentum trading mechanism affects the price movement and what the relationship between the price fluctuation and the strategy distribution is will be extensively studied.

3. Simulation results and discussions

In the present model, the price is determined by the difference between the number of buyers and the number of sellers. Whether a continuous rise or a continuous fall occurs determines the buying or selling behaviour of momentum traders. In the following, we firstly investigate the relationship between the dynamic stock prices and the ratio of momentum traders.

The time-dependent behaviours in fig. 1 (a) and (c) shows that, as the market impact is quite small, i.e. $\beta = 0$, for $\gamma = 0$, the price fluctuates irregularly as that in the real stock market. For $\gamma = 0.1$, the price fluctuates



Figure 1: The time-dependent price $P(t)$ for (a) the market impact β=0 and the ratio of momentum traders γ=0 (circles), 0.1 (squares); (c) β=0 and γ=0.4 (circles), 0.8 (squares), 1.0 (triangles); (b)β=1 and γ=0 (circles), 0.1 (squares); (d) β=1 and γ=0.4 (circles), 0.8 (squares), 1.0 (triangles). Other parameters are: the total number of individuals $N = 1001$, λ=0.1, S_{th}=-4.



Figure 2: The standard deviation of stock prices σ_P as a function of the market impact β for the ratio of momentum traders (a) γ=0 (circles), 0.1 (squares), 0.2 (squares); (b) γ=0.4 (circles), 0.6 (squares), 0.8 (squares). Other parameters are: the total number of individuals $N = 1001$, λ=0.1, S_{th}=-4. Final results are averaged over 100 runs and 10^4 times after 10^5 relaxation times in each run.

like a zig-zag line. For an intermediate γ and a large γ, a continuous rise and a continuous fall of the price occurs alternately.

The time-dependent behaviours in fig. 1 (b) and (d) shows that, as the market impact is quite large, i.e. $\beta = 1$, for $\gamma = 0$ and $\gamma = 0.1$, the price fluctuates irregularly as that in the real stock market. Compared with the price fluctuations for $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = 0$, the oscillation amplitude becomes smaller for $\beta = 1$. For a large β, the price fluctuation is similar to that for a small β. An increase in γ also leads to the alternate occurrence of a continuous rise and a continuous fall of the price.

The price fluctuation patterns in fig. 1, i.e. the zig-zag pattern with large amplitude, indicate that the existence of momentum traders can effectively leads to the occurrence of a continuous rise and a continuous fall of the price. A reversal of the prevailing trend in price movement will inevitably occur due to funding constraints.

In order to find the coupling effect of the market impact β and the ratio of momentum traders γ on price fluctuations, in fig. 2 (a) and (b) we plot the standard deviation of stock prices σ_P as a function of the market impact β for different values of the ratio of momentum traders γ. For $\gamma = 0$, as



Figure 3: The standard deviation of stock prices σ_P as a function of the ratio of momentum traders γ for the market impact β=0 (circles), 1 (squares). Other parameters are: the total number of individuals $N = 1001$, λ=0.1, S_{th}=-4. Final results are averaged over 100 runs and 10^4 times after 10^5 relaxation times in each run.

β increases from $\beta \sim 0$ to $\gamma \sim 0.5$, σ_P keeps the value of $\sigma_P \sim 15$. As β increases from $\beta \sim 0.5$ to $\gamma \sim 1$, σ_P firstly has a sharp drop and then decreases slowly from $\sigma_P \sim 6$ to $\sigma_P \sim 3$.

As γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0$ to $\gamma \sim 0.2$, σ_P firstly increases and then decreases within the range of $\beta < 0.5$. Within the range of $\beta > 0.5$, σ_P increases with the rise of γ. For an intermediate β and a large β, σ_P increases with the rise of γ. The critical point of β_c, at which σ_P fluctuates, is observed. We also find that, as γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0.4$ to unity, the value of β_c increases and the fluctuation of σ_P becomes unconspicuous.

The relationship between the price fluctuation σ_P and the ratio of momentum traders γ is plotted in fig. 3. From fig. 3 we observe that, for β=0, as γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0$ to $\gamma \sim 0.2$, σ_P firstly increases and then decreases with the rise of γ. As γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0.2$ to $\gamma \sim 0.36$, σ_g also firstly increases and then decreases with the rise of γ. As γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0.36$ to $\gamma \sim 1$, σ_g increases from $\sigma_g \sim 13$ to $\sigma_g \sim 18$.

For β=1, as γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0$ to $\gamma \sim 0.2$, σ_P increases from $\sigma_P \sim 3.5$



Figure 4: The strategy distribution $P(g)$ for (a) the market impact β=0 and the ratio of momentum traders γ=0 (circles), 0.1 (squares); (c) β=0 and γ=0.4 (circles), 0.8 (squares), 1.0 (triangles); (b)β=1 and γ=0 (circles), 0.1 (squares); (d) β=1 and γ=0.4 (circles), 0.8 (squares), 1.0 (triangles). Other parameters are: the total number of individuals $N = 1001$, λ=0.1, S_{th}=-4. Final results are averaged over 100 runs and 10^4 times after 10^5 relaxation times in each run.

to $\sigma_g \sim 12$. As γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0.2$ to $\gamma \sim 1$, σ_g increases from $\sigma_g \sim 12$ to $\sigma_g \sim 18$. We find that, for an intermediate γ and a large γ, the change of β has little effect on the change of σ_P.

The above results indicate that the existence of momentum traders can effectively eliminate the difference of the influence, which results from different market impact, on the price fluctuations. Because of the introduction of momentum traders, the price fluctuation becomes insensitive to the market impact.

In the present model, the stock prices coevolve with the individual strategies. In order to get a deep understanding of the dependence of price fluctuations on individual strategies, in fig. 4 we give the equilibrium distribution



Figure 5: The standard deviation of individual strategies σ_g as a function of the market impact β for the ratio of momentum traders (a) γ=0 (circles), 0.1 (squares), 0.2 (squares); (b) γ=0.4 (circles), 0.6 (squares), 0.8 (squares). Other parameters are: the total number of individuals $N = 1001$, λ=0.1, S_{th}=-4. Final results are averaged over 100 runs and 10^4 times after 10^5 relaxation times in each run.

of individual strategies $P(g)$ for different values of the market impact β and the ratio of momentum traders γ. For $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = 0$, $P(g)$ is like a Poisson distribution. The dominant strategy is $g_{dom} \sim 0.5$. As γ increases from $\gamma = 0.1$ to $\gamma = 1$, firstly, the strategies within the range of $g < 0.5$ is suppressed and only the strategies within the range of $g > 0.5$ are left. Then, the number of individuals adopting the dominant strategy $g_{dom} \sim 0.5$ decreases and the individuals adopting another dominant strategy $g'_{dom} \sim 0.24$ occurs. Finally, a uniform distribution occurs.

For $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$, $P(g)$ is a U-like distribution clustering around $g \sim 0$ and $g \sim 1$. For $\gamma = 0.1$, the strategy distribution is a Poisson-like distribution clustering around $g \sim 0.5$. For $\gamma = 0.4$, the strategies within the range of $g < 0.5$ is suppressed and only the strategies within the range of $g > 0.5$ are left. For $\gamma = 0.8$, the number of individuals adopting the dominant strategy $g_{dom} \sim 0.5$ decreases and the number of individuals adopting the strategies within the range of $g < 0.5$ increases. For $\gamma = 1$, the individual strategies become uniformly distributed within the range of $0 \leq g \leq 1$.

Figure 5 (a) and (b) show the standard deviation of individual strategies σ_g as a function of the market impact β for different values of the ratio of momentum traders γ. For $\gamma = 0$, as β increases from $\beta \sim 0$ to $\gamma \sim 0.5$, σ_g



Figure 6: The standard deviation of individual strategies σ_g as a function of the ratio of momentum traders γ for the market impact β=0 (circles), 1 (squares). Other parameters are: the total number of individuals $N = 1001$, λ=0.1, S_{th}=-4. Final results are averaged over 100 runs and 10^4 times after 10^5 relaxation times in each run.

keeps a value of $\sigma_g \sim 0.15$. As β increases from $\beta \sim 0.5$ to $\gamma \sim 1$, σ_g firstly has a sharp jump and then increases slowly from $\sigma_g \sim 0.3$ to $\sigma_g \sim 0.39$. As γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0$ to $\gamma \sim 0.2$, σ_g increases within the range of $\beta < 0.5$ and decreases within the range of $\beta > 0.5$. For a larger β, σ_g becomes sensitive to β. As β increases from $\beta \sim 0$ to $\beta \sim 1$, σ_g firstly decreases and then increases with the rise of β. The transition point of β_c, at which σ_g reaches its minimum, is found. β_c increases with the rise of γ.

The standard deviation of individual strategies σ_g as a function of the ratio of momentum traders γ for different market impact β is given in fig. 6. For β=0, as γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0$ to $\gamma \sim 0.16$, σ_g keeps the value of $\sigma_g \sim 0.15$. As γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0.16$ to $\gamma \sim 0.36$, σ_g firstly increases and then decreases with the rise of γ. As γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0.36$ to $\gamma \sim 1$, σ_g increases from $\sigma_g \sim 0.14$ to $\sigma_g \sim 0.29$. For β=1, as γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0$ to $\gamma \sim 0.2$, σ_g decreases from $\sigma_g \sim 0.39$ to $\sigma_g \sim 0.18$. As γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0.2$ to $\gamma \sim 1$, σ_g increases from $\sigma_g \sim 0.18$ to $\sigma_g \sim 0.29$. Comparing the results in fig. 3 with the results in fig. 6, we find that, within the range of $\gamma < 0.2$, a large value of σ_g corresponds to a small value of σ_P. Within the



Figure 7: The averaged wealth $\bar{W}$ as a function of the ratio of momentum traders γ for the market impact β=0 (circles), 1 (squares). Other parameters are: the total number of individuals $N = 1001$, λ=0.1, S_{th}=-4. Final results are averaged over 100 runs and 10^4 times after 10^5 relaxation times in each run.

range of $\gamma > 0.2$, a large value of σ_g corresponds to a large value of σ_P.

A higher price fluctuation usually leads to more returns or more losses. In fig. 7 we plot the averaged wealth of the individuals $\bar{W}$ as a function of the ratio of momentum traders γ for different market impact β. Comparing the results in fig. 3 with the results in fig. 7, we find that, although the price fluctuations are insensitive to the market impact β, that is, the trend that σ_P increases with the rise of γ does not affected by β, the averaged wealth of the individuals $\bar{W}$ is sensitive to the market impact β. For a small value of β, i.e. $\beta = 0$, $\bar{W}$ increases with the rise of γ. For a large value of β, i.e. $\beta = 1$, $\bar{W}$ decreases with the rise of γ. From the view of risk compensation, the existence of momentum traders may lead to a higher risk in investment, that is, a large fluctuation of the price and a great loss in the stock market, which may be compensated by a higher return in the stock market.

4. Theoretical analysis

4.1. Relationship between the dominant strategy and the market impact in the system with momentum traders

Comparing the results in the original model and that in the present model[54], we find that the strategy distribution becomes quite sensitive to the market impact in the present model due to the introduction of momentum traders. In the following, we give a theoretical analysis on how the dominant strategy evolves as the market impact varies from zero to unity in the environment where all the individuals are momentum traders.

The evolution of individual strategies is determined by the strategy score and the updating threshold. The strategy score is accumulated in the following two processes: acting as a momentum trader and acting as a rational individual. As one acts as a momentum trader, he always follows the trend of the price movement. As one acts as a rational individual, he follows the trend of the price movement with probability g and reject the trend of the price movement with probability $1-g$. Suppose that one would get a profit if he follows the trend and suffer a loss if he rejects the trend. The net benefit he obtains from the above two processes should meet the following equation

$$B = \sqrt{N} - (1-g)n\varepsilon, \tag{6}$$

in which $\sqrt{N}$ is the maximum profit following the trend, n is the times when one rejects the trend and ε is the loss as one rejects the trend at a time. On condition that $g \geq 1 - \frac{\sqrt{N}}{n\varepsilon}$, we get $B \geq 0$. Therefore, the dominant strategy is $g_{dom} = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{N}}{n\varepsilon}$. If $g < g_{dom}$, the score of the strategy g should be less than zero. If $g \geq g_{dom}$, the score of the strategy g should be greater than zero. Therefore, the individual strategies which satisfy $g < g_{dom}$ will be doomed and the individual strategies which satisfy $g \geq g_{dom}$ will become stable.

From the above analysis we find that the dominant strategy is determined by n and ε. Because the maximum value of the price movement is $\sqrt{N}$, ε should satisfy the inequality $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \sqrt{N}$. An increase in ε will lead to an increase in g_{dom}. From the simulation results we find that, as the market impact β increases from zero to $\beta \sim 0.7$, the distribution of individual strategies ranges from a uniform distribution to a δ-like distribution clustering around $g \sim 1$. Therefore, a larger β means a larger ε. An increase in β can effectively lead to an increase in ε and therefore an increase in g_{dom}. The theoretical analysis is in accordance with the simulation results.

As the market impact β becomes quite large, i.e.$\beta > 0.7$, the strategy distribution ranges from a δ-like distribution clustering around $g \sim 1$ to a uniform distribution again, which results from the negative points of the strategy score for $\beta > 0.7$. Comparing the critical point β_c in the original model with that in the present model, below which the averaged wealth is positive and above which the averaged wealth is negative, we find that, as γ increases from $\gamma \sim 0$ to $\gamma \sim 1$, β_c increases from $\beta \sim 0.5$ to $\beta \sim 0.7$. Such a result can be understood as follows.

In the present model, the effect of market impact on the change of strategy distribution is also reflected in the change of the transition point at which the averaged gain of each strategy changes from $\Delta P > 0$ to $\Delta P < 0$.

The difference between the price in the time step $t+1$ and the price in the time step t is $\Delta P(t) = P(t+1) - P(t)$, which satisfies the inequality $\Delta P(t) \leq \sqrt{N} - a$. Parameter a is related to the existence of momentum traders. After all the individuals have bought the stocks, the price in the $t+1$ is more possible to decrease and the difference between the price in the time step $t+2$ and the time step $t+1$ is $\Delta P(t+1) = P(t+2) - P(t+1)$, which satisfies the inequality $-\Delta P(t+1) \leq \sqrt{N} - b$. Parameter b is related to the existence of momentum traders. Only consider the case $\Delta P(t+1) = \sqrt{N} - a$ and $-\Delta P(t+1) = \sqrt{N} - b$. The transaction price in the time step t is $P_{tr}(t) = (1-\beta)P(t) + \beta P(t+1)$ and the transaction price in the time step $t+1$ is $P_{tr}(t+1) = (1-\beta)P(t+1) + \beta P(t+2)$. The payoff of an individual who buys the stock in the time step t and sells the stock in the time step $t+1$ is

$$P_{tr}(t+1) - P_{tr}(t) = \beta(a + b - 2\sqrt{N}) + (\sqrt{N} - a). \tag{7}$$

Suppose $P_{tr}(t+1) - P_{tr}(t) = 0$, we get $\beta(a+b-2\sqrt{N}) + (\sqrt{N} - a) = 0$. Therefore, the transition point of β_c, below which the averaged gain of each strategy is greater than zero and above which the averaged gain of each strategy is less than zero, becomes

$$\beta_c = \frac{\sqrt{N} - a}{2\sqrt{N} - (a+b)}. \tag{8}$$

As the ratio of momentum traders is equal to zero, $a = b = 0$, β_c is equal to β_c=0.5. As the ratio of momentum traders is greater than zero, β_c deviates from the symmetry point, i.e. β_c=0.7 for $\gamma = 1$. The theoretical analysis is in accordance with the simulation results.

4.2. Relationship between the fluctuation of stock prices and the distribution of individual strategies in the system with momentum traders

In the present model, although the existence of momentum traders makes the system become sensitive to the market impact, the price fluctuations become insensitive to the market impact. In the following, we give a theoretical analysis on the relationship between the price fluctuation and the strategy distribution.

In the original EMG model, i.e. $\gamma = 0$, both the strategy distribution and the price fluctuation are only sensitive to the transition point of the market impact, i.e. $\beta_c = 0.5$, below which the strategy distribution exhibits a δ-like distribution clustering around $g_{dom} \sim 0.5+$ or $g_{dom} \sim 0.5-$ and the price fluctuation is quite large, and above which the strategy distribution exhibits a U-like distribution clustering around $g_{dom} \sim 0$ and $g_{dom} \sim 1$ and the price fluctuation is suppressed.

In the present model, for different kinds of combinations β and γ, the individual strategies exhibit four kinds of distribution patterns: a U-like distribution clustering around $g_{dom} \sim 0$ and $g_{dom} \sim 1$; a U-like distribution clustering around $g_{dom} \sim 0.5$ and $g'_{dom}(\beta, \gamma)$, which ranges from zero to unity depending upon the coupling of β and γ; a uniform distribution; a δ-like distribution clustering around $g'_{dom}(\beta, \gamma)$, which ranges from zero to unity depending upon the coupling of β and γ.

As the individual strategies exhibit a U-shape distribution clustering around $g_{dom} = 0$ and $g_{dom} = 1$, the standard deviation of individual strategies is quite large and the price fluctuation is suppressed because of a small difference between the number of buyers and the number of sellers. Therefore, a larger value of the standard deviation of individual strategies corresponds to a smaller value of the standard deviation of stock prices.

As individual strategies exhibit a U-shape distribution clustering around $g_{dom} = 0.5$ and $g'_{dom}(\beta, \gamma)$, i.e. $g'_{dom}(\beta, \gamma) = 1$, the rational individuals buy or sell the stock randomly and the momentum traders always follow the trend of the price movement. Therefore, the price fluctuation is determined by the ratio of momentum traders. As the ratio of momentum traders increases, there are more people who adopt the same strategy and the price fluctuation becomes large. Therefore, an intermediate value of the standard deviation of individual strategies corresponds to a larger value of the standard deviation of stock prices.

As individual strategies exhibit a δ-like distribution clustering around a typical strategy $g'_{dom}(\beta, \gamma)$, nearly all the individuals, including the rational

individuals and the momentum traders, adopt the same strategy and the difference between the number of buyers and the number of sellers becomes large, especially in the case where all the individuals adopt the same strategy $g \sim 1$. A large price fluctuation occurs. Therefore, a smaller value of the standard deviation of individual strategies corresponds to a larger value of the standard deviation of stock prices.

As individual strategies exhibit a uniform distribution, nearly half of the individuals follow the prediction and half of the individuals reject the prediction. The price fluctuation corresponding to a uniform strategy distribution should be greater than the price fluctuation corresponding to a U-shape strategy distribution and less than the price fluctuation corresponding to a δ-like strategy distribution. Therefore, an intermediate value of the standard deviation of individual strategies corresponds to an intermediate value of the standard deviation of stock prices.

In the present model, for a given γ, the price fluctuations are nearly the same for different values of the market impact β. Such consistency results from the compensation of the difference in the strategy distribution which corresponds to different values of the market impact β. By adjusting the strategy distribution, the momentum traders have destroyed the efficiency of the market which occurs for a high value of the market impact, i.e. $\beta > 0.5$, in the original model. As the ratio of momentum traders γ increases, the rational individuals become bewildered and adopt the strategy $g \sim 0.5$. Depending upon different values of the market impact β, the dominant strategy adopted by the momentum traders ranges from $g_{dom} \sim 0$ to $g_{dom} \sim 1$ and the price fluctuation becomes insensitive to the market impact β.

5. Summary

By incorporating momentum traders into the evolutionary minority game, we have investigated the self-reinforcing effect of subjective perception on price movement. We focus on the coupling of the ratio of momentum traders and the level of market impact. There exists a critical point of the ratio of momentum traders, below which the price fluctuation is sensitive to the market impact and above which the price fluctuation becomes insensitive to the market impact. As to the evolution of individual strategies, the existence of momentum traders makes the strategy distribution become sensitive to the market impact.

There exists a feedback between the individual strategies and the price movement. The intrusion of momentum traders leads to a continuous rise or a continuous fall of the price, which makes the trend followers benefit more from the transactions and more people adopt the same strategy. The clustering of individual strategies makes the price movement become predictable, which strengthen the trend in price movement, that is, a continuous rise or a continuous fall of the price. The coevolution of individual strategies and price movement leads to the occurrence of such a scenario where the price fluctuation is independent of the market impact.

The self-reinforcing effect prevails in the real world, including biological systems and economic and social systems. The evolutionary mechanism of the self-realization of subjective perception may be generalized into other systems, like the spread of epidemic disease and the evolution of cooperation. An in-depth study on how the creature sentiment affects the collective behaviours in nature and human society may help us understand the origin of our human beings and the creatures around us.

Acknowledgments

This work is the research fruits of National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 71371165, 71273224, 71471161,71471031,71171036, 71202039, 61503109, 11175079), Collegial Laboratory Project of Zhejiang Province (Grant No. YB201628), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. LY17G030024), Research Project of Generalized Virtual Economy(Grant No. GX2015 -1004(M)), Jiangxi Provincial Young Scientist Training Project (Grant No. 2013 3BCB23017).

References

[1] N. Johnson, G. N. Zhao, E. Hunsader, H. Qi, Nicholas Johnson, J. Meng, B. Tivnan, Abrupt rise of new machine ecology beyond human response time, Scientific Reports 3 (2013) 2627.

[2] F. Schweitzer, P. Mavrodiev, C. J. Tessone, How can social herding enhance cooperation? Advs. Complex Syst. 16 (2013)1350017.

[3] F. Schweitzer, V. Nanumyan, C. J. Tessone, X. Xia, How do OSS projects change in number and size? A large-scale analysis to test a model of project growth, Advs. Complex Syst. 17 (2014)1550008.

[4] D. F. Zheng, H. P. Yin, C. H. Chan, P. M. Hui, Cooperative behavior in a model of evolutionary snowdrift games with N-person interactions, Europhys. Lett. 80 (2007) 18002.

[5] M. Perc, P. Grigolini, Collective behavior and evolutionary games - An introduction, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 56 (2013) 1-5.

[6] G. Szabo, C. Hauert, Phase transitions and volunteering in spatial public goods games, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 118101.

[7] W. J. Xu, L. X. Zhong, P. Huang, T. Qiu, Y. D. Shi, C. Y. Zhong, Evolutionary dynamics in opinion formation model With coupling of social communities, Advs. Complex Syst. 18 (2015)1550003.

[8] Y. D. Shi, L. X. Zhong, W. J. Xu, Effects of group sensitivity on cooperation in N-person snowdrift game with dynamic grouping, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 56(2013) 132-138.

[9] Z. Wang, A. Szolnoki, M. Perc, Different perceptions of social dilemmas: Evolutionary multigames in structured populations, Phys. Rev. E 90 (2014) 032813.

[10] A. Szolnoki, M. Perc, Group-size effects on the evolution of cooperation in the spatial public goods game, Phys. Rev. E 84 (2011) 047102.

[11] T. Hadzibeganovic, F. W. S. Lima, D. Stauffer, Evolution of tag-mediated altruistic behavior in one-shot encounters on large-scale complex networks, Computer Physics Communications 183 (2012) 2315-2321.

[12] T. Hadzibeganovic, C. Y. Xia, Cooperation and strategy coexistence in a tag-based multi-agent system with contingent mobility, Knowledge-Based Systems 112 (2016) 1-13.

[13] I. Vodenska, A. P. Becker, D. Zhou, D. Y. Kenett, H. E. Stanley, S. Havlin, Community analysis of global financial markets, Risks 4 (2016) 4020013.

[14] Z. Q. Jiang, A. A. Canabarro, B. Podobnik, H. E. Stanley, W. X. Zhou, Early warning of large volatilities based on recurrence interval analysis in Chinese stock markets, Quantitative Finance 16 (2016) 1713-1724.

[15] V. Gontis, S. Havlin, A. Kononovicius, B. Podobnik, H. E. Stanley, Stochastic model of financial markets reproducing scaling and memory in volatility return intervals, Physica A 462 (2016) 1091-1102.

[16] W. J. Xie, M. X. Li, H. C. Xu, W. Chen, W. X. Zhou, H. E. Stanley, Quantifying immediate price impact of trades based on the k-shell decomposition of stock trading networks, Europhys. Lett. 116 (2016) 28006.

[17] L. Y. Lu, M. Medo, C. H. Yeung, Y. C. Zhang, Z. K. Zhang, T. Zhou, Recommender systems, Physics Reports 519 (2012) 1-49.

[18] N. F. Johnson, M. Hart, P. M. Hui, Crowd effects and volatility in a competitive market, Physica A 269 (1999) 1-8.

[19] N.F. Johnson, P.M. Hui, D. Zheng, M. Hart, Enhanced winnings in a mixed-ability population playing a minority game, Jounal of Physics A 32 (1999) 427-431.

[20] T.S. Lo, P.M. Hui, N.F. Johnson, Theory of the evolutionary minority game, Phys. Rev. E 62 (2000) 4393.

[21] T. S. Lo, H. Y. Chan, P. M. Hui, N. F. Johnson, Theory of networked minority games based on strategy pattern dynamics, Phys. Rev. E 70 (2004) 056102.

[22] D. Challet, Y. C. Zhang, Emergence of cooperation and organization in an evolutionary game, Physica A 246 (1997) 407-418.

[23] M. Medo, Y. C. Zhang, Market model with heterogeneous buyers, Physica A 387 (2008) 2889-2908.

[24] D. Challet, Inter-pattern speculation: Beyond minority, majority and games, Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control 32 (2008) 85-100.

[25] D. Challet, Y. C. Zhang, On the minority game: analytical and numerical studies. Physica A 256 (1998) 514.

[26] J. Q. Zhang, Z. G. Huang, Z. X. Wu, R. Q. Su, Y. C. Lai, Controlling herding in minority game systems, Scientific Reports 6 (2016) 20925.

[27] G. Mosetti, D. Challet, Y. C. Zhang, Minority games with heterogeneous timescales, Physica A 365 (2006) 529-542.

[28] A. Chakraborti, D. Challet, A. Chatterjee, M. Marsili, Y. C. Zhang, B. K. Chakrabarti, Statistical mechanics of competitive resource allocation using agent-based models, Physics Reports 552 (2015) 1-25.

[29] T. Galla, Y.C. Zhang, Minority games, evolving capitals and replicator dynamics, J. Stat. Mech. (2009) 11012.

[30] F. Ren, Y. C. Zhang, Trading model with pair pattern strategies, Physica A 387 (2008) 5523-5534.

[31] F. Ren, L. X. Zhong, Price impact asymmetry of institutional trading in Chinese stock market, Physica A 391 (2012) 2667.

[32] N. F. Johnson, P. M. Hui, R. Jonson, T. S. Lo, Self-organized segregation within an evolving population, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82(16) (1999) 3360-3363.

[33] N. F. Johnson, S. Jarvis, R. Jonson, P. Cheung, Y.R. Kwong, P.M. Hui, Volatility and agent adaptability in a self-organizing market, Physica A 256 (1998) 230.

[34] L. X. Zhong, W. J. Xu, P. Huang, C. Y. Zhong, T. Qiu, Self-organization and phase transition in financial markets with multiple choices, Physica A 410 (2014) 450-456.

[35] S. Hod, E. Nakar, Self-segregation vs. clustering in the evolutionary minority game, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 238702.

[36] G. Bianconi, T. Galla, M. Marsili, P. Pin, Effects of Tobin taxes in minority game markets, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization 70 (2009) 231-240.

[37] A. C. Barato, I. Mastromatteo, M. Bardoscia, M. Marsili, Impact of meta-order in the minority game, Quantitative Finance 13 (2013) 1343-1352.

[38] K. Wawrzyniak, W. Wislicki, Multi-market minority game: breaking the symmetry of choice, Adv.Complex Syst. 12 (2009) 423-437.

[39] A. Ghosh, D. De Martino, A. Chatterjee, M. Marsili, B. K. Chakrabarti, Phase transitions in crowd dynamics of resource allocation, Phys. Rev. E 85 (2012) 021116.

[40] G. Bianconi, A. De Martino, F. F. Ferreira, M. Marsili, Multi-asset minority games, Quantitative Finance 8 (2008) 225-231.

[41] N. F. Johnson, J. Ashkenazi, Z. Y. Zhao, L. Quiroga, Equivalent dynamical complexity in a many-body quantum and collective human system, AIP Advances 1 (2011) 012114.

[42] M. Del Vicario, A. Scala, G. Caldarelli, H. E. Stanley, W. Quattrociocchi, Modeling confirmation bias and polarization, Nature Scientific Reports 7 (2017) 40391.

[43] D. Sornette, Critical market crashes, Physics Reports 378 (2003) 1-98.

[44] D. Cherkashin, J. D. Farmer, S. Lloyd, The reality game, Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control 33 (2009) 1091-1105.

[45] P. D. Manrique, C. Xu, P. M. Hui, N. F. Johnson, A typical viral dynamics from transport through popular places, Phys. Rev. E 94 (2016) 022304.

[46] M. Baker, J. Wurgler, Investor sentiment and the cross-section of stock returns, Journal of Finance 61 (2006) 1645-1680.

[47] A. M. Alwathainani, Does bad economic news play a greater role in shaping investors' expectations than good news? Global Economy and Finance Journal 3 (2) (2010) 27 - 43.

[48] S. N. Soroka, Good news and bad news: asymmetric responses to economic information, The Journal of Politics 68 (2) (2006) 372-385.

[49] D. A. Moore, J. M. Oesch, C. Zietsma, What competition? myopic self-focus in market-entry decisions, Organization Science 18 (2007) 440-454.

[50] P. Allegrini, P. Grigolini, L. Palatella, Intermittency and scale-free networks: a dynamical model for human language complexity, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 20 (2004) 95-105.

[51] H. H. Nax, M. Perc, A. Szolnoki, D. Helbing, Stability of cooperation under image scoring in group interactions, Sci. Rep. 5 (2015) 12145.

[52] T. Hadzibeganovic, F. W. S. Lima, Non-classical connectionist models of visual object recognition, Cognitive Computation 4 (2012) 206-208.

[53] H. J. Quan, C. Y. Zhu, Behaviors of imitated agents in an evolutionary minority game on NW small world networks, Physics Procedia 3 (2010) 1741-1745.

[54] C. H. Yeung, K. Y. Michael Wong, Y.C. Zhang, Models of financial markets with extensive participation incentives, Phys. Rev. E 77 (2008) 026107.

[55] L. X. Zhong, T. Qiu, B. H. Chen, C. F. Liu, Effects of dynamic response time in an evolving market, Physica A 388 (2009) 673-681.

[56] L. X. Zhong, W. J. Xu, F. Ren, Y. D. Shi, Coupled effects of market impact and asymmetric sensitivity in financial markets, Physica A 392 (2013) 2139-2149.

[57] X. Y. Zhang, X. Hu, J. Kurths, Z. H. Liu, Explosive synchronization in a general complex network, Phys. Rev. E 88 (2013) 010802.

[58] L. Y. Lu, M. Medo, Y. C. Zhang, D. Challet, Emergence of product differentiation from consumer heterogeneity and asymmetric information, European Physical Journal B 64 (2008) 293-300.

[59] A. Zeng, S. Gualdi, M. Medo, Y. C. Zhang, Trend prediction in temporal bipartite networks: the case of Movielens, Netflix, and Digg, Advs. Complex Syst. 16 (2013) 1350024.

[60] D. Garcia, A. Kappas, D. Kuster, F. Schweitzer, The dynamics of emotions in online interaction, Royal Society Open Science 3 (2016) 160059.

[61] X. Huang, I. Vodenska, S. Havlin, H. E. Stanley, Cascading failures in bi-partite graphs: model for systemic risk propagation, Nature Scientific Reports 3(2013)1219.

[62] H. E. Stanley, Book review: B. J. West, P. Grigolini, Complex webs: anticipating the improbable, Physics Today 64 (2011) 58-60.

[63] R. N. Mantegna, H. E. Stanley, Scaling behaviour in the dynamics of an economic index, Nature 376 (1995) 46-49.

[64] Y. B. Xie, B. H. Wang, C. K. Hu, T. Zhou, Global optimization of minority game by intelligent agents, Eur. Phys. J. B 47 (2005) 587.

[65] K. Chen, B. H. Wang, B.S. Yuan, Theory of the three-group evolutionary minority game, International Journal of Modern Physics B 18 (2004) 2387-2393.

[66] W.X. Zhou, The components of empirical multifractality in financial returns, Europhys. Lett. 88 (2009) 28004.

[67] B. Podobnik, H. E. Stanley, Detrended cross-correlation analysis: A new method for analyzing two nonstationary time series, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 084102.

[68] Z. G. Huang, J. Q. Zhang, J. Q. Dong, L. Huang, Y. C. Lai, Emergence of grouping in multi-resource minority game dynamics, Scientific Reports 2 (2012) 703.

[69] J. Wiesinger, D. Sornette, J. Satinover, Reverse engineering financial markets with majority and minority games using genetic algorithms, Comput. Econ. 41 (2013) 475-492.

[70] V. Alfi, A. De Martino, L. Pietronero, A. Tedeschi, Detecting the traders' strategies in Minority-Majority games and real stock-prices, Physica A 382 (2007) 1-8.